

The Critical Nature of Social Capital in addressing Child Neglect

Al Condeluci, PhD

www.alcondeluci.com

Introduction

Social Capital is a term that describes the notion of friendship and social connectedness and the value that these relationships bring. Clearly, all people have some form of social capital, but it hasn't been until recently that sociologists have come to realize the power and potency of this concept.

Alexis de Tocqueville first wrote about the concept of social connectedness in his 1850 analysis of the United States titled, *Democracy in America*. In this work, de Tocqueville described a phenomena he called "habits of the heart" where people watched out for each other for no other apparent reason than what is good for you is good for me. By the end of the Civil War and beyond the turn of the century in the 1900's Americans began to enhance these "habits of the heart" to a whole new level. As our society shifted from primarily agrarian to industrial mode, and as immigrants came from all over the world clubs, groups and associations began to develop and strengthen.

L. J. Hanifan first coined the idea of social capital in 1916 although his focus was to put a "face" on the notion of "habits of the heart" that de Tocqueville identified in 1865. He defined social capital as: "those tangible substances that count for most in the daily lives of people: namely good will, fellowship, sympathy, and social intercourse among the individuals and families who make up a social unit."

In a basic way, this notion of social capital is critical to all of us and can have a strong effect in addressing and preventing child neglect. Stop and think about it – your life is a complex web of people who you relate to on various levels for various things. Those people you are closest to are your covenant relationships. These are the people you love and spend the most amount of time with. Next all those people that you freely exchange with make up your friendship relationships. You spend a fair amount of time with these people and rely on them for things you need as your situation becomes more complex. Last are all those people that you know and see in your daily or weekly activities. You exchange pleasantries with these folks and might even discuss or debate events around you, but you do not go much beyond these dimensions.

Robert Putnam (2000) defined the concept of social capital as: "referring to connections among individuals – social networks and the norms of reciprocity and trustworthiness that arise from them...(It) is closely related to...civic virtue...A society of many virtuous but isolated individuals is not necessarily rich in social capital."

Social Capital and Preventing Child Neglect

Child neglect is defined as failure to meet children's basic needs (e.g. food, clothing, medical care, supervision, shelter) whether the failure is the responsibility of parents, communities or society – and this void places children in harm's way. Yet, child neglect is preventable and is everyone's responsibility. This is where social capital comes in!

Over the past 25 years researchers have been looking closely at the potency of social capital on all aspects of life. It has an impact on health, happiness, achievement, advancement, self-confidence, and even life expectancy. Social scientists are convinced that life is better when we have more social capital. Yet, social capital is not only essential to individuals, but is critical to communities overall because it:

- allows citizens to resolve collective problems more easily
- greases the wheels that allow communities to advance smoothly
- widens our awareness of the many ways we are linked
- lessens pugnaciousness, or the tendency to fight or be aggressive
- increases tolerance
- enhances the psychological and biological processes

The fact that social capital keeps us safe, sane and secure cannot be understated. Most of us tend to think that institutions or organizations are keys to safety. Places like hospitals or systems like law enforcement are thought to keep us safe, but the bold truth is that these systems have never really succeeded in keeping us safe or healthy. Rather, it is the opportunity for relationships that community offers us as well as the building of social capital. Simply stated, your circles of support and the reciprocity they create are the most important element in your safety and the safety of your children. In fact, it is reported that social isolation is responsible for as many deaths per year as is attributed to smoking.

How Can Social Capital Prevent Child Neglect

One of the key things we know that is associated with child neglect is stress that is felt by the family in everyday living. When families encounter stress, bad things can happen, and one of them is that parents can be pulled down by the stress, and begin to neglect their children.

Drilling deeper on this critical nature of stress, Researchers contend there are two major aspects responsible for these positive effects of social capital on stress – the “main social effect” and “stress buffering.” The “main social effect” is the direct nature of having your social capital available to support you, and reciprocate when you are in need. This “main social effect” promotes positive psychological aspects of identity, purpose, self-worth and other pro-social aspects that induce stress-reducing physiological responses. It

also provides information and is a source of motivation and social pressure to care for oneself and children.

“Stress buffering” asserts that social capital promotes a stronger family by providing psychological and material resources needed to cope with less stress. Quite simply this concept suggests that stress has an affect on behaviors that can lead to neglect and that social capital buffers the ill effects of stress. The more friends you have the more you can get assistance in dealing with your stressful situation. When someone faces stress alone, the interpretation of the stressful event has ill effects. But, if you can talk with friends about this stressful situation often the interpretation is softened and you can face the stress with less negative impact.

Without a doubt, the potency of social capital is a concept to be reckoned with. The research, studies and reviews are overwhelmingly consistent that the more relationships people have, and especially in key, close relationships, the better they are able to deal with the stresses of life, deal proactively with their children and experience positive life outcomes. Research also suggests that one need not have a lot of relationships. Studies seem to show that the positive effects of social capital kick in when people have at least 4 close relationships. Curiously, more than 4 do not necessarily make dramatic differences in life, but less than 4 lead to serious ill effects.

Social Capital and Life Success

Beyond the health and safety benefits of social capital there is mounting evidence that social capital have a positive effect on the more tangible outcomes associated with life success. That is, when one examines key life success outcomes some simple areas can be isolated for review. These are:

- Jobs and meaningful things to do
- Housing and living choices
- Transportation to engage in community

Certainly there are other outcomes important to people, but when you look at any human service systems anywhere in North America supporting people who are disadvantaged, these three measures – jobs, housing and transportation - are often the key activities that services offer. Be they poor, elderly, disabled, addicted, homeless or any other social ill, systems and services are trying to help people get established in these three domains.

Yet when these three areas are closely examined, success in each one is linked to social capital. Quite simply, the more social capital people have, the more options people have in each of these critical life support areas. When these life support areas are strengthened, there is less potential for child neglect. Consider your typical experiences here:

Jobs and meaningful things to do – Regardless of your age, if you look closely at your job history, you can probably trace job success to your social capital. That is, when you think about your jobs and how you obtained these jobs, probably a friend, family member

or associate was directly involved. Then, when you applied or interviewed for that job, you listed more of your social capital as job references. More, when the interviewer called your references, these people (your social capital) vouched for your integrity, diligence, and competence even if they had to stretch.

Housing and living choices – Most disadvantaged people are extremely limited in housing options. Often housing that is affordable and safe is off-limits to people of limited means. Consequently, many of these people are in sub-standard housing, in the most vulnerable areas, with the worst school systems, resources and the like. In the most severe situations, disadvantaged people end up homeless or on the streets. Much as we see with jobs, people with limited social capital are also limited in housing options.

Again, when we factor in social capital, the impact is clear. People with more social capital have greater options in housing and in whom they might choose to live with. If you needed to change your living arrangement quickly, for whatever reason, your social capital would be there to bail you out. You might have someone who could take you in temporarily, then help you find a new place to live or perhaps have you move in with them on a more permanent basis. The net result is that social capital is a key mitigating factor in preventing homelessness.

Transportation to engage the community - There is no doubt that the ability to get around the community is critical to a person's life success. Getting to work, meetings, appointments or to recreate are key to keeping a person (or family) moving forward. Quite simply the more limited you are in getting around the more limiting life becomes.

People who are disadvantaged are usually limited in transportation options. If you don't have a job, often you can not afford a private vehicle. This leaves either public transit or finding friends to transport you. If public transit is not available, and you have limited social capital you are stuck. Then, if you need some special features in transit, such as accessibility, you are even further isolated.

Ironically, if you can not get into community, then your chances of building social capital are further affected. Yet we know that social capital is key to assisting in transportation if you do not have or can operate a vehicle. This is a cruel double bind.

Disadvantaged People and Social Capital

It is safe to suggest that people who are disadvantaged anywhere in North America are equally limited in social capital. There is very little literature to back this statement up which, in and of itself is revealing. No one has cared to even measure the social capital network of disadvantaged people. Still, if you have any exposure or contact with groups of people that are often at a disadvantage – the old, poor, disabled, homeless, and addicted know that these cohorts are disconnected from social capital.

Similarly, disadvantaged people are limited in all the aspects that social capital affects. That is, jobs, housing, and transportation are all challenging areas for devalued people.

Equally, we know that disadvantaged people have more sick days, more depressed days and, in some cases, have a higher mortality rate. This is certainly true with homeless, addicted, poor, and disabled populations.

Without any detailed studies, conventional wisdom tells us that all of these populations are more socially isolated than other groups. Without the resources, energy, accessibility, or general community acceptance members of these disadvantaged groups languish in the area of social capital.

Conclusion

We are convinced that the route to success in community, and the direct prevention of child abuse, no matter the group or agenda, rests in understanding the concept of social capital, and then developing strategies and supports that will facilitate disadvantaged groups in building, maintaining, or sustaining social capital. When we move in this direction we are convinced that we will finally make progress in helping people find value and relevance in community.

References

Cohen, Sheldon (2004) "Social Capital and Health" *American Psychologist*. Nov 2004, pp. 676 – 684.

Condeluci, Al (2002) *Cultural Shifting*. St. Augustine, TRN Press.

Condeluci, Al (2008) *Together is Better*. Wake Forest, NC, Lash Press.

Putnam, Robert (2000) *Bowling Alone*. New York, Simon and Shuster.

Rath, Tom (2006) *Vital Friends*. New York, Gallup Press.

Condeluci, et al (2008) "Social Capital: A View from the Field." *JVR*, Vol 29, No 3.

For more on Social Capital visit www.buildingsocialcapital.org and follow Al Condeluci on Twitter; @acondeluci